UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,580	02/04/2002	Felix G.T.I. Andrew	13768.241	5848

47973 7590 11/08/2005	EXAMINER
WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT	BATURAY, ALICIA

WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2155	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/067,580	ANDREW ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alicia Baturay	2155

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *15 September 2005*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-28 and 42-56* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-28 and 42-56* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *22 November 2002* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02042002</u>.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) ☐ Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

1.   This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 15 September 2005.

2.   Claims 1-28 and 42 were amended.

3.   Claims 29-41 were cancelled.

4.   Claims 45-56 were added.

5.   Claims 1-28 and 42-56 are pending in this Office Action.

Response to Amendment

6.   The objection to the specification regarding minor informalities was addressed and is

withdrawn.

7.   The objection to the drawing/specification was addressed and is withdrawn.

8.   The objection to claims was addressed and is withdrawn.

9.   Applicant's amendments and arguments with respect to claims 1-28 and 42-44 and new

claims 45-56 filed on 15 September 2005 have been fully considered but they are deemed to

be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

10.   The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the
> subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

11.   Claims 1 and 42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. It is unclear what limitations are encompassed by the phrase

"features of the computer system other than security features."

Claim Objections

12.   Claim 56 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 3, Applicant states

"international boarder." It is believed Applicant meant to write "international *border*."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in
> section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are
> such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person
> having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the
> manner in which the invention was made.

14.   Claims 1-4, 8-13, 16, 17, 19-24, 26, 27, and 42-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Freund et al. (U.S. 2003/0167405) and further in view of Goodman

et al. (U.S. 6,370,646).

Freund teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a system including

methods for detecting a connection to a new network by receiving notice of, and evaluating

changes to an existing network configuration. The system collects information about the

network to uniquely identify it and generates a unique identifier for the network. The profile

of each network is stored so that it remembers the network and applies the same security settings previously adopted.

15. With respect to claim 1, Freund teaches a computer system that is connectable to a number of network environments, each network environment being associated with one or more parameters, a method for selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to, so as to reduce the configuration information that needs to be manually entered, comprising the following:

An act of connecting the computer system to a network environment from among the number of network environments (Freund, page 6, paragraph 73); an act of receiving one or more parameters associated with the computer system that were provided by the network environment (Freund, page 7, paragraphs 87-91); an act of combining the one or more parameters to generate an identifier (Freund, page 23, paragraph 133); and an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

16.   With respect to claim 2, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including the method where the act of connecting the computer system to a network environment from among the number of network environments comprises the following:

Act of connecting a mobile computer system to a network environment from among the number of network environments (Freund, page 6, paragraph 73).

17.   With respect to claim 3, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including the method where the act of connecting the computer system to a network environment from among the number of network environments comprises the following:

An act of connecting the computer system to a network environment from among a number of network environments (Freund, page 6, paragraph 73).

18.   With respect to claim 4, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 3, including the method where the act of connecting the computer system to a network environment from among a number of network environments comprises the following:

An act of connecting the computer system to a network environment from among a number of network environments that are each associated with different operating environments (Freund, page 6, paragraph 73).

19.   With respect to claim 8, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including the method where the act of receiving one or more parameters associated with the computer system that were provided by the network environment comprises the following:

An act of receiving one or more parameters associated with the computer system that were provided by a network environment (Freund, page 7, paragraphs 87-91).

20.   With respect to claim 9, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 8, including the method where act of receiving one or more parameters associated with the computer system that were provided by a network environment comprises the following:

An act of receiving one or more parameters associated with communication techniques utilized by the network environment (Freund, page 7, paragraphs 87-91).

21.   With respect to claim 10, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 9, including the method where the act of receiving one or more parameters associated with communication techniques utilized by the network environment comprises the following:

An act of receiving a network address that was provided by the network environment (Freund, page 7, paragraphs 83-86).

22. With respect to claim 11, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 9, including the method where the act of receiving one or more parameters associated with communication techniques utilized by the network environment comprises the following:

An act of receiving a subnet mask that was provided by the network environment (Freund, page 7, paragraph 86).

23. With respect to claim 12, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 9, including the method where the act of receiving one or more parameters associated with communication techniques utilized by the network environment comprises the following:

An act of receiving one or more parameters indicative of the network environment utilizing a proxy (Freund, page 7, paragraph 90).

24. With respect to claim 13, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 9, including the method where the network environment utilizes a virtual private network (Freund, page 7, paragraph 84).

25. With respect to claim 16, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including the method where the act of receiving one or more parameters associated with the computer system that were provided by the network environment comprises the following:

An act of receiving one or more parameters associated with the computer system that were provided by a first network environment and will be used to select characteristics associated with a second network environment (Freund, page 7, paragraph 95).

26.   With respect to claim 17, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including the

method where the act of receiving one or more parameters associated with the computer

system that were provided by the network environment comprises the following:

An act of receiving one or more parameters associated with the computer system that

were provided by the computer system (Freund, page 7, paragraph 95).

27.   With respect to claim 19, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including the

method where the act of combining the one or more parameters to generate an identifier

comprises the following:

An act of combining the one or more parameters that where provided by a network

environment to generate an identifier (Freund, page 23, paragraph 133).

28.   With respect to claim 20, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 19, including

the method where the act of combining the one or more parameters that where provided by a

network environment to generate an identifier comprises the following:

An act of combining one or more parameters associated with communication techniques

that are utilized by the network environment (Freund, page 23, paragraph 133).

29.   With respect to claim 21, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 20, including

the method where the act of combining the one or more parameters associated with

communication techniques that are utilized by the network environment comprises the

following: an act of performing a logical AND operation on a network address and a subnet

mask to generate a subnet address that is representative of a network location (Freund, page 23, paragraph 133 and following table).

30.   With respect to claim 22, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including the method where the act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to comprises the following:

An act of selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to that cause the computer system to utilize a proxy (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

31.   With respect to claim 23, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including the method where the act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to comprises the following:

An act of selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to that cause the computer system to utilize a virtual private network (Freund, page 7, paragraphs 83-84).

32.   With respect to claim 24, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including the method where the act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to comprises the following:

An act of selecting characteristics associated with a network location the computer system connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

33.   With respect to claim 26, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including the

method where the act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the

network environment the computer system is connected to comprises the following:

An act of selecting characteristics associated with the network environment from a

system registry (Freund, page 6, paragraphs 68-69). The Microsoft Computer Dictionary

defines registry as "a central hierarchical database in Windows 9x, Windows CE, Windows

NT, and Windows 2000 used to store information necessary to configure the system for one

or more users, applications, and hardware devices." It is inherent that the operating systems

discussed in Freund, specifically Windows 9x, Windows NT, and Windows 2000, include a

registry and use it to store and retrieve characteristics about the network environment.

34.   With respect to claim 27, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including the

method where the act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the

network environment the computer system is connected to comprises the following:

An act of selecting characteristics associated with the network environment by utilizing

information that was manually entered by a user (Freund, page 5, paragraph 63).

35.   With respect to claim 42, Freund teaches a computer program product for use in a

computer system that is connectable to a number of network environments, each network

environment being associated with one or more parameters, the computer program product

for implementing a method for selecting characteristics associated with the environment the

computer system is connected to, so as to reduce the configuration information that is

manually entered, the computer program product comprising: one or more computer-readable media carrying computer-executable instructions, that when executed at the computer system, cause the computer system to perform the method, including:

Connecting the computer system to an network environment from among the number of network environments (Freund, page 6, paragraph 73); receiving one or more parameters associated with the computer system that were provided by the network environment (Freund, page 7, paragraphs 87-91); combining the one or more parameters to generate an identifier (Freund, page 23, paragraph 133); and selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

36.   With respect to claim 43, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 42, including the computer program product where the one or more computer-readable media are physical storage media (Freund, page 6, paragraph 68).

37.   With respect to claim 44, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 42, including the computer program product where the one or more computer-readable media include system memory (Freund, page 6, paragraph 68).

38.   With respect to claim 45, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches where modifying the configuration includes loading drivers with some peripherals and unloading drivers for other peripherals (Goodman, col. 7, lines 15-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

39.   With respect to claim 46, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including where modifying the configuration includes ceasing a NIC connection and beginning a modem connection (Freund, page 5, paragraph 65 and page 7, paragraph 95).

40.   With respect to claim 47, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches where modifying the configuration includes changing a favorites list (Goodman, col. 7, lines 15-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

41. With respect to claim 48, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including where the one or more parameters include latency information (Freund, page 18, paragraph 123).

42. With respect to claim 49, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including where the one or more parameters include bandwidth information (Freund, page 18, paragraph 123).

43. With respect to claim 50, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches where the one or more parameters include parameters associated with a keyboard (Goodman, col. 7, lines 15-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the

features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

44.   With respect to claim 51, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches where the one or more parameters include parameters associated with a monitor (Goodman, col. 7, lines 15-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

45.   With respect to claim 52, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches where the one or more parameters include parameters associated with a printer (Goodman, col. 7, lines 15-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

46.   With respect to claim 53, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches where the one or more parameters include parameters associated with a peripheral device (Goodman, col. 7, lines 15-23).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

47.   Claims 5-7, 14, 15, 18, 25, 28, 54, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Freund in view of Goodman and further in view of Lipe et al. (U.S.

5,748,980).

Freund teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a system including

methods for detecting a connection to a new network by receiving notice of, and evaluating

changes to an existing network configuration. The system collects information about the

network to uniquely identify it and generates a unique identifier for the network. The profile

of each network is stored so that it remembers the network and applies the same security

settings previously adopted.

48.   With respect to claim 5, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an

act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network

environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the

security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a

configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer

system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features

(Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the

features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

Freund teaches the invention described including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a docking station.

However, Lipe teaches where the one or more parameters include parameters associated with expansion card capabilities of a docking station (Lipe, col. 18, lines 51-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Lipe in order to enable the use of a docking station. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate seamless dynamic configuration changes in a computer with minimum user involvement.

49.   With respect to claim 6, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 5, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

Freund teaches the invention described including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a docking station.

However, Lipe teaches the act of connecting the computer system to a docking station network environment from among a number of docking station network environments that are each associated with different operating environments (Lipe, col. 32, lines 23-31)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Lipe in order to enable the use of a docking station. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate seamless dynamic configuration changes in a computer with minimum user involvement.

50.   With respect to claim 7, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

Freund teaches the invention described including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a docking station.

However, Lipe teaches connecting the computer system to a combined network environment (Lipe, col. 394, line 65 – col. 395, line 13). Use of both parameters present in the registry and from the network shows use of a combined system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Lipe in order to enable the use of a docking station. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate seamless dynamic configuration changes in a computer with minimum user involvement.

51.   With respect to claim 14, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 9, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

Freund teaches the invention described including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a docking station.

However, Lipe teaches where the one or more parameters include parameters associated with expansion card capabilities of a docking station (Lipe, col. 18, lines 51-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Lipe in order to enable the use of a docking station. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate seamless dynamic configuration changes in a computer with minimum user involvement.

52.   With respect to claim 15, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 14, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

Freund teaches the invention described including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the

features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a docking station.

However, Lipe teaches an act of receiving one or more parameters associated with peripherals that are attached to the docking station network environment (Lipe, col. 32, lines 23-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Lipe in order to enable the use of a docking station. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate seamless dynamic configuration changes in a computer with minimum user involvement.

53.   With respect to claim 18, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the

features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

Freund teaches the invention described including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a docking station.

However, Lipe teaches an act of receiving one or more parameters from a combined network environment (Lipe, col. 394, line 65 – col. 395, line 13). Use of both parameters present in the registry and from the network shows use of a combined system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Lipe in order to

enable the use of a docking station. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate seamless dynamic configuration changes in a computer with minimum user involvement.

54. With respect to claim 25, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

Freund teaches the invention described including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a docking station.

However, Lipe teaches an act of selecting characteristics associated with a docking station the computer system connected to (Lipe, col. 32, lines 23-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Lipe in order to enable the use of a docking station. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate seamless dynamic configuration changes in a computer with minimum user involvement.

55.   With respect to claim 28, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59). .

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

Freund teaches the invention described including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a docking station.

However, Lipe teaches an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with a combined network environment the computer system is connected to (Lipe, col. 394, line 65 – col. 395, line 13). Use of both parameters present in the registry and from the network shows use of a combined system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Lipe in order to enable the use of a docking station. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate seamless dynamic configuration changes in a computer with minimum user involvement.

56.   With respect to claim 54, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

Freund teaches the invention described including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a docking station.

However, Lipe teaches where the one or more parameters include parameters associated with expansion card capabilities of a docking station (Lipe, col. 18, lines 51-62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Lipe in order to enable the use of a docking station. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate seamless dynamic configuration changes in a computer with minimum user involvement.

57.   With respect to claim 55, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an

act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network

environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the

security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a

configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer

system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features

(Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the

features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so

in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

Freund teaches the invention described including an act of, based on the identifier,

selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is

connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the

security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a

configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer

system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features

(Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a docking station.

However, Lipe teaches where the one or more parameters include parameters associated with memory or mass storage capabilities of a docking station (Lipe, col. 32, lines 23-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Lipe in order to enable the use of a docking station. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate seamless dynamic configuration changes in a computer with minimum user involvement.

58.   Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freund in view of Goodman and further in view of Parupudi et al. (U.S. 6,750,883).

Freund teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a system including methods for detecting a connection to a new network by receiving notice of, and evaluating changes to an existing network configuration. The system collects information about the network to uniquely identify it and generates a unique identifier for the network. The profile of each network is stored so that it remembers the network and applies the same security settings previously adopted.

59.   With respect to claim 56, Freund teaches the invention described in claim 1, including an

act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network

environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the

security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a

configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer

system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features

(Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the

features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so

in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a docking station.

However, Lipe teaches where the one or more parameters include parameters associated

with memory or mass storage capabilities of a docking station (Lipe, col. 32, lines 23-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Lipe in order to

enable the use of a docking station. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate

seamless dynamic configuration changes in a computer with minimum user involvement.

Freund teaches the invention described including an act of, based on the identifier, selecting characteristics associated with the network environment the computer system is connected to (Freund, page 6, paragraph 74).

Freund does not teach reconfiguring features of the computer system other than the security features.

However, Goodman teaches utilizing the selected characteristics to modify a configuration of the computer system, where modifying the configuration of the computer system includes reconfiguring features of the computer system other than security features (Goodman, col. 7, lines 54-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Freund in view of Goodman in order to enable reconfiguring the features of a computer system other than security features. One would be motivated to do so in order to reduce man-hours and time involved in software migratory endeavors.

The combination of Freund and Goodman does not teach the use of a GPS.

However, Parupudi teaches detecting a change in the network environment due to detecting from GPS data that the computer system has crossed an international border; and where modifying the configuration includes changing one or more of a default language, currency symbols or other country dependent software settings (Parupudi, col. 17, lines 37-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Freund and Goodman in view of Parupudi in order to

enable the use of a GPS. One would be motivated to do so in order to facilitate applications

presenting location-dependent services to the user.

Response to Arguments

60.   Applicant's arguments filed 3 November 2004 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

61.   *Applicant Argues:* Applicant states "Freund fails to disclose or suggest a method wherein various parameters used to generate an identifier and ultimately select characteristics that are used to modify configuration features other than security features, as claimed, particularly when considering the various types of parameters that can be received and the various different types of configuration features that are modified according to the recited claims."

In Response: The examiner respectfully submits that Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Baturay whose telephone number is (571) 272-3981. The examiner can normally be reached at 7:30am - 5pm, Monday - Thursday, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alicia Baturay
November 3, 2005

SALEH NAJJAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER